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## An important question

Question. Given a polynomial $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, for which $p \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}$ is $F(p)=0$ ?
It turns out a natural way to attack this problem is to attach a number $g$ called the genus to $F$.

- $g=0$. This is form conic sections, and these will either have no rational points or the rational points will be parameterized by $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ in an easy way.
- $g=1$. These are cubic equations, and there can be finitely many rational points or infinitely many. The points have a nice group structure.
- $g \geq 2$. There are finitely many rational points (Falting's theorem).


## What is an elliptic curve?

- An elliptic curve $E$ is a curve of the form

$$
y^{2}=x^{3}+a x^{2}+b x+c
$$

- With substitutions preserving rational points, these can be put in the Weierstrass form $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.
- $E$ must also be nonsingular. Here, this means there are no self-intersections or cusps. We can check this by letting $F(x, y)=x^{3}+a x^{2}+b x+c-y^{2}$ and checking if

$$
\nabla F=\overrightarrow{0}
$$

at any point $P$ where $F(P)=0$, in which case $E$ is singular.

## The group structure of $E$

- Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ come equipped with a group structure of the set of rational points $E(\mathbb{Q})$.
- We add $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ to obtain a point $R=P \oplus Q$ by taking the third intersection $R^{\prime}$ of $E$ and the line $\ell(P, Q)$ through $P, Q$. Flipping over the $x$ axis, we obtain $R$.
- If $P=Q, \ell(P, Q)$ is the tangent to $E$. The identity is given by the point at infinity $\mathcal{O}$ - we say $P \oplus Q=\mathcal{O}$ if $\ell(P, Q)$ fails into intersect $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.


## An illustration



Addition of distinct points


Adding a point to itself

Figure 1: Elliptic curve addition (Image from [Sil09])

## Elliptic curve isogenies

- An isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a rational map which satisfies $\phi\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}$, which reflects that $\phi$ induces a group homomorphism. The set of isogenies is denoted $\operatorname{Hom}\left(E, E^{\prime}\right)$. When $E=E^{\prime}$, this is $\operatorname{End}(E)$.
- Over a field $K$, isogenies are maps $(x, y) \mapsto(f(x, y), g(x, y))$ where $f, g$ are in $K(x, y)$.
- We say $E \cong E^{\prime}$ if $\phi$ is an invertible map.
- Example: The map $[n]: E \rightarrow E$ sending $P \rightarrow n P$ is a member of $\operatorname{End}(E)$.


## An isogeny invariant

Take an elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$ and write it in Weierstrass form $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$. The $j$-invariant is given by

$$
j(E)=1728 \frac{4 a^{3}}{4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}}
$$

## Theorem

Let $E, E^{\prime}$ be elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E \cong E^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if $j(E)=j\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. In general, given a field $K$ and elliptic curves $E, E^{\prime}$ over $K$ then $E \cong E^{\prime}$ over $\bar{K}$ if and only if $j(E)=j\left(E^{\prime}\right)$.

## The $\wp$ function

In order to motivate $j(E)$, we need to reinterpret what an elliptic curve is. To do this, we look at elliptic functions, or doubly periodic meromorphic functions. The Weierstrass $\wp$ function describes these completely:

## Theorem

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice, and let

$$
\wp_{\Lambda}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \backslash\{0\}} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}} .
$$

The elliptic function field for $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$ is given by $\mathbb{C}\left(\wp_{\Lambda}, \wp_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)$.

## Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ are complex tori

## Theorem

Given a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$, there is a corresponding elliptic curve $E_{\Lambda}$ such that $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda \cong E_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{C})$ as groups. Given an elliptic curve $E$, there is a lattice $\Lambda_{E}$ such that $E \cong \mathbb{C} / \Lambda_{E}$ as groups.

- The curve $E_{\Lambda}$ is given by

$$
E_{\Lambda}: y^{2}=4 x^{3}-g_{2}(\Lambda) x-g_{3}(\Lambda)
$$

where $g_{2}(\Lambda)=60 \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \backslash\{0\}} \omega^{-4}, g_{3}(\Lambda)=140 \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \backslash\{0\}} \omega^{-6}$. The isomorphism is given by

$$
z \mapsto\left(\wp_{\Lambda}(z), \wp_{\Lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right),
$$

when $z \notin \Lambda$ and $z \mapsto \mathcal{O}$ when $z \in \Lambda$.

- We can also take any elliptic curve $E$ and obtain a lattice $\Lambda_{E} \cong \omega_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega_{2} \mathbb{Z}$ using integrals $\omega_{1}=\int_{\alpha} \frac{d x}{y}$ and $\omega_{2}=\int_{\beta} \frac{d x}{y}$ to obtain basis elements. Here, $\alpha, \beta$ generate $H_{1}(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$.


## Homothetic Lattices

We say $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are homothetic if $\Lambda=\omega \Lambda^{\prime}$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We can equivalently characterize isomorphism classes of elliptic curves as follows:

## Theorem

The complex tori $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda \cong E_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda^{\prime} \cong E_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}$ iff $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are homothetic.

Now it is very natural to consider the $j$-invariant from modular forms. This is defined by

$$
j(\tau)=1728 \frac{g_{2}^{3}}{g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
g_{2}=60 \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)}(m+n \tau)^{-4}, g_{3}=140 \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)}(m+n \tau)^{-6}
$$

## Why the $j$-invariant is a perfect fit

We want a homothety invariant $j(\Lambda)$ such that $j(\Lambda)=j\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ iff $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ are homothetic. Suppose we have such a function:

- If $j$ is a homothety invariant, $j\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right)=j\left(\left[1, \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}\right]\right)$.
- Consider $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in \mathbb{H}$. If $f(\tau)=f\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ precisely when the lattices $[1, \tau]$ and $\left[1, \tau^{\prime}\right]$ are the same then $f$ should be a modular function as it is invariant under the natural action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The space of such functions is $\mathbb{C}(j)$, where $j=j(\tau)$ is the $j$-invariant.
As a result, we know we should base $j(\Lambda)$ off of $j(\tau)$. Noticing that $g_{2}, g_{3}$ sum over the lattice $[1, \tau]$, it is natural to define

$$
j\left(E_{\Lambda}\right)=j(\Lambda)=1728 \frac{g_{2}^{3}(\Lambda)}{g_{2}^{3}(\Lambda)-27 g_{3}^{2}(\Lambda)}
$$

where $g_{2}(\Lambda)$ and $g_{3}(\Lambda)$ are the coefficients of $E_{\Lambda}$.

- It remains to check that $j(\Lambda)=j(w \Lambda)$ - this is not too hard.


## Conclusion

We can conclude the following about elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ :

- If $j(E) \neq j\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, then certainly $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are not isomorphic.
- If $j(E)=j\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, they are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}$ (more specifically, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) but not necessarily over $\mathbb{Q}$. For example, take

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E / \mathbb{Q}: y^{2}=x^{3}+x \\
E^{7} / \mathbb{Q}: y^{2}=x^{3}+49 x .
\end{array}
$$

Here, $j(E)=j\left(E^{\prime}\right)=1728$. However, $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is a finite group but $E^{7}(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite, and hence not isomorphic to $E(\mathbb{Q})$. These curves are isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$.
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